| Materials <br> - BLM Detective Successes | Unit 3, Lesson 2 Grades 5-6 <br> TV Lesson (fig |
| :---: | :---: |
| Math Vocabulary <br> ratio <br> proportion <br> equivalent ratios <br> variables <br> Literature Vocabulary <br> detective <br> victim <br> suspect <br> culprit <br> clue <br> evidence | Math Objectives: <br> - Give examples of ratios as multiplicative comparisons of two quantities describing the same attribute. <br> - Represent ratios and percents with concrete models, fractions, and decimals. <br> Language Objectives: <br> - Discuss problem solving strategies with peers. <br> - Write out solutions for solving problems. <br> - Justify their thinking and strategies. |
| ELPS (English Language Proficiency Standards) 2B, 2C, 2D, 2I, 3A, 3C, 3H, 3J <br> CCRS (College and Career Readiness Standards) I - BC VIII - A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, B1, B2, C1, C2, C3 IX - A1, A2, A3, B1, B2, C1, C2, C3 X-B1 | Building Background <br> Mickey Rangel is quite a detective. His solution ratio is $10: 10$, which means he solves every mystery. But, his solution ratio is $7: 10$ without backup from Angel, which means he does need help from time to time to solve some mysteries. Like batting averages, this way of showing success is very helpful in predicting future success. <br> There are other great child detectives that you can read about. Today, we are going to investigate their solution ratios, find their percent of success, and predict future success. <br> Comprehensible Input <br> Let's use ratio and proportion to determine Mickey's success ratio as a percent. Tell your Classroom Teacher what proportion equation you would set up to find an equivalent proportion that you could easily represent as a percent. (generous pause) <br> Well, I already know his solution ratio, which is 7:10. I can express that as a fraction (do so). If I want to represent that as a percent, I know that I can find an equivalent ratio with a denominator of 100 . Let's use our friendly variable again - I'm going to use $x$, but you can use any letter you wish. $\frac{7 \text { solved mysteries without backup }}{10 \text { mysteries }}=\frac{x \text { solved w/o backup }}{100 \text { mysteries }}$ <br> You can cross multiply if you wish; but I think I will just multiply by a form of one because I know that $10 \times 10$ will give me the 100 in the equivalent ratio. $\frac{10 x}{10 x} \frac{7 \text { solved mysteries without backup }}{10 \text { mysteries }}=\frac{x \text { solved w/o backup }}{100 \text { mysteries }}$ |



|  | Unit 3, Lesson 2 <br> TV Lesson - continued |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Grades 5-6 |  |
| (Solve as many as you have time to solve. It is suggested that you see |  |
| the relationships of 5, 20, and 25 to 100 so you can model multiplying |  |
| out by a form of one rather than cross multiplying. Only Cam Jansen |  |
| would need cross multiplication as 12 is not as easy for the students to |  |
| see.) |  |
| Pirate's Corner |  |
| Tell us what your results were on your graph today, and how people |  |
| explained their answers. |  |
| Objectives: |  |
| Read through the math and language objectives, making sure that |  |
| students understand how they accomplished each. |  |

## Unit 3 Lesson 2 - TV Lesson

One per student

## Detective Successes

Here are the names of young detectives and their solution ratios for mysteries they solved WITHOUT help from others. Which detective would you hire to help you and why?

| Detective | Solution Ratio <br> (without <br> backup) | Percent of <br> Success |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mickey Rangel | $7: 10$ |  |
| Encyclopedia Brown | $3: 5$ |  |
| Nate the Great | $15: 20$ |  |
| Harris Burdick | $10: 15$ |  |
| Trixie Belden | $21: 25$ |  |
| Cam Jansen | $9: 12$ |  |

Which detective would you choose just by looking at these solution ratios?

Use ratio and proportion to determine their percent of success.

Using the percent of success without back up, I would choose
to solve a mystery for me because....

Unit 3 Lesson 2 - TV Lesson
One per student

## Éxitos detectivescos

He aquí los nombres de detectives jóvenes y los cocientes que usaron para resolver los misterios que los ocupaban SIN ayuda de los demás. ¿Qué detective reclutarías para que te ayude y por qué?

| Detective | Razón de <br> solución <br> (sin ayuda) | Porcentaje de <br> éxito |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mickey Rangel | $7: 10$ |  |
| Encyclopedia Brown | $3: 5$ |  |
| Nate the Great | $15: 20$ |  |
| Harris Burdick | $10: 15$ |  |
| Trixie Belden | $21: 25$ |  |
| Cam Jansen | $9: 12$ |  |

¿Qué detective escogerías con solo ver estos cocientes utilizados para resolver el problema?

Utiliza cociente y proporción para determinar el porcentaje de éxito de los detectives.

Si usaras el porcentaje de éxito sin apoyo, escogería a
para resolver un misterio para mí porque....

